

LVA Anglais Toutes options

Langue vivante A ANGLAIS

MAGE
FRANÇOIS

Note de délibération : 17.32 / 20

Nom M A G E

Prénom (s) F R A N Ç O I S

17.32 / 20

ECRICOME

Épreuve: Langue vivante A anglais

Sujet 1 ou 2
(Veuillez cocher le N° de sujet choisi)

Les feuilles dont l'entête d'identification n'est pas entièrement renseigné ne seront pas prises en compte pour la correction.

Feuille 01 / 01

Numéro de table 006

1- Version

Bien que Devangji Patel, 33 ans, a travaillé en tant qu'anesthésiste dans un grand centre médical en dehors d'Atlanta pendant seulement deux ans, son but est de se permettre de quitter ses fonctions à 50 ans. Docteur Patel n'est pas seule dans sa quête pour devenir financièrement indépendante - et à un âge relativement jeune. Cela semble être une mode générationnelle qui semble fortement émerger: de nombreux travailleurs nés entre 1981 et 1996 n'aspirent pas à partir à la retraite à la moitié - ou à la fin de leur sixantaine, comme leurs parents. Au lieu de ça, de nombreuses personnes avec des carrières professionnelles cherchent à quitter leur travail à la cinquantaine et à travailler pour eux-mêmes ou à se contenter d'une fonction moins bien rémunérée qui est plus en accord avec leurs intérêts. Mais pendant que de nombreux travailleurs de cette génération veulent une indépendance financière à la cinquantaine, ce n'est pas facilement acquis - pour la plupart, cela nécessitera d'économiser entre 50 et 60 % de leur salaire. Les milléniaux, qui sont nés entre 1981 et 1996, sont entrés dans le monde professionnel durant la Grande Récession et vivent dans un monde dans lequel les schémas traditionnels de réussite vers la richesse, comme l'accès à la propriété, sont pour un plus grand pourcentage d'entre eux comparé à la génération précédente, hors d'atteinte. Leurs attitudes sont aussi en train d'être redéfinies, en partie,

par incertitude: ils font face à de graves tendances économiques juste parce qu'ils font l'effort de se construire eux-mêmes.

Thème About a little bit more than two years ago P.M. and Nora had spent some weeks in New York, where Nora had a complicated issue of succession to fix. They were newly married. They were living in a big hotel of Park Avenue. They were really busy, business during the day, then cocktails, dinners, theatre and clubs. It's in this atmosphere that P.M., a morning, had read in the newspaper that a robbery had happened in a cabaret in Rock Island. There was only a few lines. "Until now, the police has only arrested a man called Donald Ashbridge, who strongly resisted and who severely injured a policeman. He was drunk". This is how, after years, he had had some news of his brother. Of course, he hadn't told anything to Nora. The following days, he had looked for details in daily newspapers, in vain.

Essai : Can civil disobedience be justified ?

In 2020, the BLM movement questioned the US authority after George Floyd's death spreading a reshape concerning race equality and police violence. Hence, does civil disobedience give legitimacy ^{to} an action, sometimes violent, against laws for the sake of the society ?

First of all, I deem that civil disobedience can be justified if it stands for fair causes. Indeed, some events of disobedience led to a cornerstone within society thanks to the uprising face to the authority. Although governments have the upper hand on laws and legitimacy of the authority they don't always epitomize core values. For example, during the 20th century, civil disobedience had been necessary to debunk segregation implemented by authorities. During the 50s Rosa Parks and the episode of the Montgomery's bus, when she refused to give her seat to a white person, raised awareness on the situation and fueled social progress until the abolition of Jim Crow laws and Civil Rights movement in 1968. In addition, I consider that disobedience can be led in a peaceful way like Mandela and his fight against the Apartheid thanks to what he won the Peace Nobel Prize.

However, to me, civil disobedience cannot be always justified as far as it fuels violence and a climate of instability. Concerning a question of security and common concern, disobedience shouldn't be violent. as far as it gives birth to an escalation of violence. When Trump lost the last US elections, his staunch supporters decided to invade the Capitol causing the death of a woman. On top of that, I don't really deem that every struggle are fair since motives of civil disobedience and values it stands for can be quite subjective or deceptive. For example, during July 2022, protests

in Sri Lanka against the government led to a real chaos forgetting what was the real purpose of their struggle. The turmoil jeopardised the entire stability of the country aggravating the current situation with a powerless authority.

Thus, civil disobedience can be a key for emancipation^{and justice} if it rejects violence and if it deals with ethical values.

345 words.